


Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#1



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 1:01:11 PM

Last Modified: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 1:55:11 PM

Time Spent: 00:54:00

IP Address: 63.192.182.126

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Madera County

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Average

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

The process was fairly easy and expedient.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Successful

Comments:

We reached most of the people before the dialogue events occurred; only 10% of the people we reached were at the dialogues.

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

The participants were very verbal in asking questions, throughout the events and signed up for future stigma reduction activities.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CalMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Average

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

They need to be experts in community planning.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Very effective

Comments:

These really helped open people up to discuss the topic and we continue to use these in our groups. Our partner organizations also use them.

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Average

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

The materials were good. Having other things like refrigerator magnets would be good.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

The regular reminders were helpful.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

We would like additional resources and opportunities to grow our stigma reduction activities.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#2



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 3:50:42 PM

Last Modified: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 4:28:51 PM

Time Spent: 00:38:09

IP Address: 206.229.89.78

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

NAMI Amador/Amador County Behavioral Health Services

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Below Average

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

There was only one training webinar, as I recall, and it was not recorded, which should it have been. Guidelines about publicity were not issued that we needed consultant's approval for publicity until AFTER we had done our publicity - seems basic that this should have been announced from the start.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Successful

Comments:

We met or exceeded the numbers of people our Dialogue event - amazing in this very conservative county, where mental illness is generally a taboo topic. NAMI Amador, and Behavioral Health Dept now have higher visibility, and many people have attended Mental Health First Aid classes, which was a follow-on activity to the Community Dialogue event. We have also been invited to speak at several meetings of local organizations, where we felt we made an impact. We (NAMI Amador) will participate in another CIT training soon, sponsored by our County Sheriff's office.

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

Participants did all of the above, but they also really engaged in discussion, and it helped build ongoing relationships between grantees and some faith-based organizations, one of our outreach objectives.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Somewhat supportive

Comments:

The consultant assigned to us left RS&E during the grant period. We were left with no response for a period of time, with no idea what was happening.

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Comments:

She was supportive in that she came to the Dialogue event and introduced the program. Since our event was early - timed to coincide with Mental Illness Awareness Week, so we could leverage publicity for both, she didn't have a lot of time to spend with us in preparation for our work.

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

Have all the requirements spelled out in materials we receive at start of grant period. Provide training videos we can watch at our available times. Have contact people who are actually available.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Very effective

Comments:

In our community, most citizens are starting from almost zero knowledge about mental illness, except those who live with MI themselves or their families. These vignettes were eye-openers for many, and helped reinforce what NAMI says, "Recovery is Possible!"

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Effective

Comments:

Great to have all the advertising collateral - helped with publicity, and "branded" the work that we were doing, so the event and other surrounding events developed an identity.

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

None of the pieces by itself told the story of the Community Dialogue event in brief, so media (like our local access TV, where I did a 30 min. interview and where we bought ads) had to create on-screen visuals from the graphics we provided.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?


Let us know exactly at the beginning what materials will be required. We sent many jpgs of our events, all proofs of media ads that we could, but it felt disorganized and we did not know if we were actually meeting requirements.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

Provide a longer lead time to apply - it was less than 2 weeks this time. Be more timely and flexible in providing requirements and guidelines. Hire other consultants to manage the grants. Recognize that in rural areas, grantees may not have the means to do all communications and transfer of materials online. Overall, though, this was a big positive step for awareness of mental illness in our County. Thank you.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#3



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Thursday, June 05, 2014 5:30:42 AM

Last Modified: Thursday, June 05, 2014 6:06:18 AM

Time Spent: 00:35:36

IP Address: 76.9.79.174

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Mariposa County

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Good

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

Resource binder with the tool kit was very helpful, along with staff.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Successful

Comments:

Many attendees were inspired by the hopefulness of recovery and enjoyed the interaction of the small table groups.

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

After the viewing, there was a discussion at each table, led by a facilitator with some designed questions to engage participants. Later feedback indicated that this was enjoyed by most everyone. The facilitator summarized the main points to the audience and as they did this their points were being listed on the screen via computer. A copy of these concerns was given to the Human Services Director and to the Mental health board.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Comments:

We didn't communicate a lot but when we did, they were very helpful, with ideas and resources.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

It would be nice to know more about some of the lessons learned from other counties. We learned that in a small county like ours, it is necessary to personally invite (with rsvp) those people or groups that are targeted. Our invitations were too broad. A discussion with the support person might have steered us to understand that based on previous presentations.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Effective

Comments:

Well over 99% of group feedback thought it was great. Only one comment suggested it was too long, but still liked it.

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Effective

Comments:

However, our feedback indicated that "invitations from friends" was the predominant way people learned about and decided to attend.

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

Materials were great. We created a small card sized invitation to hand out to people which seemed useful, along with personal interaction.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

Perhaps a publication on "What we have learned so far" from doing these events and the outcomes from different counties efforts.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

Same as above

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#4



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Friday, June 06, 2014 11:17:55 AM

Last Modified: Friday, June 06, 2014 11:28:43 AM

Time Spent: 00:10:48

IP Address: 107.3.185.64

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Community Resource Center

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Excellent

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

The only thing i can think of is that since the start time was delayed, it would have been nice to have the end time delayed.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Very successful

Comments:

We had many unanticipated and really good effects (connecting individuals to services, establishing organizational relationships, increased good will in our community) as well as those we had expected (reducing stigma and discrimination)

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

They stayed throughout events, even the longest, many surveys were completed (although it was hard in our community - rural, older - to collect email addresses); attendees took provided information; attendees demonstrated engagement verbally

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Comments:

I felt very comfortable asking for help at the beginning when we had some difficulty getting our dialogues off the ground. I had ongoing support as we became more proficient and successful.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Comments:

I struggled at the beginning and wasn't sure what the contract specialist required of us. I asked and she provided some clarification. There was less engagement from the CaIMHSA contract specialist than from RS&E, but perhaps that was intended.

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

See comment item 3

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Very effective

Comments:

I think the documentary and dialogues had a profound influence in our community

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Effective

Comments:

The kit provided was almost too big. Probably necessary and probably super helpful to your high-tech savvy recipients, but intimidating to us. However, we found what we needed and used it well

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

I don't really have any suggestions for improvement

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?


Consider the different communities. Ours is a rural and older population, and there is resistance to technology and giving out electronic data (no matter how much we guarantee it won't be used). Surveys might be more welcome by snail mail than online. Things like that.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

I said all that I can think of previously. thx,

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#5



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:00:53 PM

Last Modified: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:12:08 PM

Time Spent: 00:11:15

IP Address: 207.166.22.154

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Sutter County Superintendent of Schools/Yuba County Office of Ed

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Excellent

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

It was great!

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Very successful

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

Very engaged in the dialogue, completed our surveys, took information from our resource areas, shared their goals of how they would carry the message.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

I felt we were very supported.....no issues to improve in from our point of view.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Very effective

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Very effective

Comments:

The fact sheets were most helpful. The whole toolkit was excellent!

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

We loved it all. There were good samples, it was attractively done, loved the colors!!!!

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?


Quarterly was good. Helped us keep organized.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

We were very happy with the program. Thank you!

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#6



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, June 10, 2014 8:23:32 AM

Last Modified: Tuesday, June 10, 2014 8:37:26 AM

Time Spent: 00:13:54

IP Address: 209.78.56.72

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Napa County Mental Health

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Excellent

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

Perhaps give counties feedback as you are getting the post-surveys about level or responses, type of responses, etc.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Successful

Comments:

I think a lot of people needed to be heard and to tell their stories. The community dialogue events also gave individuals an opportunity to see how much individuals living with a SMI or a MI can be affected by others behavior. We also learned that in other areas/walks of life, the term stigma is not frequently used and that the word that is used is prejudice.

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

Stayed for the entire event, took information from the resource area, stayed past the event end time to continue the dialogue, asked for more screenings of the documentary in other locations.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Comments:

RS&E has been very supportive throughout the process and has even checked in with me to see how the project is doing. I'm very happy with the level of support and resources that were provided to grantees.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Comments:

Even though there was a transition during the grant process I didn't feel any change as the support continued at the same level.

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

Providing materials in Spanish as well (logos, promo materials, etc.)...I know it's a lot of work, but perhaps also allowing Counties to support in the customization/translation of the logos might help somewhat.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Effective

Comments:

It would have been more effective if the whole video had Spanish subtitles and/or if the Spanish Vignettes had English subtitles as it was hard to be inclusive when materials were not available in both languages.

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Average

Comments:

Please see comments above. Although the printed materials were very nice and professional, it would have been nice to have them available in Spanish from the beginning. When I asked about customizing the logo, however, I was quickly supported and RS&E provided me with the customized graphics in a timely manner.

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

It's hard to think of everything in advance, but I truly appreciated that RS&E were willing to support Napa County with our needs as things came up.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

Providing us with a logic model, copies of sample surveys, pre/post tests such as the one you created for the community dialogue events.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

Thank you for this opportunity. I hope there are more opportunities like this in the future as this experience has been such a great learning opportunity for Napa County MH, our community partners and the community at large.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#7



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Wednesday, June 11, 2014 8:17:01 AM

Last Modified: Wednesday, June 11, 2014 9:46:15 AM

Time Spent: 01:29:14

IP Address: 184.8.22.179

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Lassen Aurora Network

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Good

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

The process was good- but it seemed that much came out all at once and was a bit overwhelming. Some vignettes did not roll out at the beginning.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Successful

Comments:

Each event was unique in its own way. Being in the media throughout the year in delivering the information was helpful. It was challenging getting people to open up. The Lassen College group seemed most responsive, with greater diversity and students in attendance. This educational format was comfortable to them. The documentary, stigma handouts, and local resource materials were valuable take home materials for attendees. We had a wide range of advocates speaking out- NAMI Representative, NAMI Family Member, Cal MHSA Representative, Lassen Aurora Network and Sun Rays of Hope Speakers Speaking out. Like anything, the more individuals engaged in the process, the more they got out of it. On behalf of Lassen Aurora Network and Sun Rays of Hope (Modoc) I express gratitude in being able to bring such quality venues to our frontier region.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

The above mentioned ways- stay throughout the event, complete surveys, and take information from the resource area in addition to engaging in dialogue were all ways individuals showed their interest in the anti stigma message. The emergence of our Speakers Bureaus- both in Lassen and Modoc have proven to be very exciting and helpful in leveraging and promoting this venue. We are invested in advocacy through the launch of this formal campaign, and will continue to reach out to our communities.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Comments:

RS&E have provided contracts and stipends in a timely fashion, having funds in place to deliver the program. The last quarter is challenging with that in mind. On behalf of Lassen Aurora Network and Sun Rays of Hope, we are grateful for this funding opportunity.

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Comments:

Representatives have been extremely helpful, respectful and prompt in answering questions. Thank You!

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

The above mentioned support has been excellent. They did their jobs efficiently and well.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Effective

Comments:

A New State of Mind: Ending The Stigma of Mental Illness was effective and done well with much diversity. My only comment would be that it is too long. Thirty minutes would have been more manageable. It was most excitingly viewed on two occasions- 1- The Lassen Community College SDR event - when we featured Paul Gilmartin, and at the Modoc event at the The Niles Theatre- a nostalgic old movie theatre, and we got to view the documentary on the Big Screen.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Very effective

Comments:

We had multiple posters- inside and out. they were extremely effective in setting the stage for the conversation. Of course we still have them and will be able to continue using them. It was extremely helpful having the camera ready artwork for ordering them.

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

... Maybe some generic flyers with the funder credit/ acknowledgement on them- and set up for us to add our specific information would be helpful.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?


Continue to provide best practices information and updates of facts reported that will be helpful in our continuing efforts for SDR in sustaining the momentum we have achieved in this period. One of the handouts we have expanded on during this accelerated outreach period is our monthly newsletter. It provides us opportunity to continually speak to ongoing events and activities. We have had to increase the printing numbers to accommodate this. We have made contacts with clubs, businesses and organizations through 33 presentations; 129 times speakers shared their stories, and a total of 554 plus participants.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

Continue to provide incentives for leveraging funds, encourage projects of collaboration with neighboring counties. Our experience collaborating with Modoc had been exciting! I enjoy working with them- and we are all so isolated out here in the frontier. We have more project collaborations in the works.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#8



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Wednesday, June 11, 2014 2:46:01 PM

Last Modified: Wednesday, June 11, 2014 3:00:49 PM

Time Spent: 00:14:48

IP Address: 24.49.193.31

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Siskiyou Community Services Council

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Good

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

I would have asked for the potential grantee to provide specific proposed outcomes in the grant application.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Successful

Comments:

This will be a long term effort...but it definitely began the discussion

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

At each of the eleven events, it was reported that participants engaged in lively discussion, stayed throughout, and provided specific ideas about how to further address the issue in their communities.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Comments:

Elizabeth Corbitt was great.

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

Would have been helpful to know when there were staff changes so that we submitted the reporting documents/notifications to the correct individual

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Very effective

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Average

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

It would have been great to have pre printed posters, etc.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?


The reporting process was good

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

more materials...otherwise good! Thank you for the opportunity to bring this important issue to frontier Siskiyou County!

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#9



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Thursday, June 12, 2014 9:12:05 AM

Last Modified: Thursday, June 12, 2014 11:35:16 AM

Time Spent: 02:23:11

IP Address: 73.185.0.242

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Youth Empowerment Support Program

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Good

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

The grant application process went smoothly. The training was helpful, but could have been provided closer to award of grant.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Very successful

Comments:

An average of evaluations from all four events noted, 97% agreed that, "I feel this event was beneficial to my community" and 89% agreed that, "After attending today's event I have a New State of Mind about mental wellness." This reflects attendees gaining understanding about and acknowledging stigma surrounding mental health challenges in their communities. Participants also wrote comments such as, "Thank you for helping me realize I am not alone"; "This event really opened my eyes to what people go through"; and, "It showed me that stigma is a bigger issue than I thought."

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

We had a total of 398 attendees: Foresthill (74), Auburn (135), Colfax (82) and Lincoln (107). Attendees actively participated in the resource scenario groups by discussing the strengths and needs of individuals living with mental health challenges, what effects stigma and discrimination might have on the person, and what community and personal resources could be used to benefit their mental wellness. We also had full audience participation and spontaneous applause after prompted questions, performances, and speakers. Additionally, Make a Difference Cards were completed at the end of the events by noting: "I am committed to making a difference by...." Some highlights of attendees statements include: "I will share my own story, be an example of hope, and break down myths"; "Opening my heart to anyone who needs it & opening my mouth when others judge and bully.....Because each mind matters"; "I will check in on my neighbor renting next door. I know people with mental illness are much more likely to be a victim of violence than to ever be violent."; and, "Standing with and supporting my girlfriend as she battles her depression so the world doesn't lose another kind, gentle, young soul."

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Comments:

RS&E representatives were immediately responsive to our questions and needs. They provided positive feedback on our events and reports. We appreciated their attendance at our events.

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Comments:

We appreciated the communication.

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

No improvements necessary

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Effective

Comments:

It was helpful to show different sections of the documentary to our various communities. If we had a DVD with the segments we wanted in a ready to go order instead of having to jump through during programming our viewings could have gone smoother.

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Effective

Comments:

It was very helpful to use existing materials and modify as needed to fit the needs of our communities.

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

It would have been helpful to have translated versions available earlier.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

We met the program reporting and evaluation requirements. The reminders were beneficial.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

Nothing other than noted above.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#10



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 12, 2014 2:49:04 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 12, 2014 3:28:28 PM
Time Spent: 00:39:24
IP Address: 208.87.233.180

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Humboldt County Department of Health and Human Services

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Excellent

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

The process was smooth and helpful

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Very successful

Comments:

We far exceeded the number of Community Dialogue Events from our scope of work. We were able to expand from our original scope of reaching remote areas of Humboldt to also include reaching special populations, such as older adults, LGBTQ, Students, etc.

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

At each event, attendees stayed afterwards to engage one on one with local speakers about thier personal experiences with stigma.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Comments:

We appreciate that RS&E staff have been very quick to respond to questions and flexible to adjustments.

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

the support was great. no suggestions.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Very effective

Comments:

it was great to be able to split the film up to fit the audience or switch vignettes around. it would be more helpful if the chapters were completely separate from the menu.

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Average

Comments:

We were requested to use the provided printed materials such as flyers, but the items were not available in a format that we were able to manipulate. This made it very it very difficult to keep the items in the requested fonts and style formatting.

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

create printed materials in an easy to use program such as microsoft word or other user friendly program.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

Having to participate in the state surveys, made it difficult to document the same data that the reports were using. The questions were somewhat different. We had to create supplemental forms to capture all the data that was required for the evaluations.

It would be helpful to have one single online way to do reporting and data. Having to fill out the tabulation forms, then the online form, then the quarterly report was cumbersome.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

See above.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#11



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, June 14, 2014 7:06:57 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, June 14, 2014 7:33:48 PM
Time Spent: 00:26:51
IP Address: 50.131.175.120

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

NAMI Merced

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Good

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

I think that the process was good.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Successful

Comments:

As a rural outreach grant, the individuals who attended were actively engaged with the discussion. Two of the dialogues were held in both English and Spanish in two rooms at schools.

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

All of the attendees stayed throughout the event and many stayed to ask additional questions after the event. Resource materials were taken. The Spanish speakers were very engaged in the conversation and shared their concerns about mental illness.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Comments:

I think that it was challenging to have staff change during the course of the grant. Both individuals were helpful, but after the first relationship is established, the transition to another person is hard on both sides.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Comments:

Janice Melton always responded quickly to telephone calls and emails. She was very helpful and provided encouragement and resources.

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

I think that it was not clear initially about the role of this individual. Once a connection was made, she was very helpful. I hope that these specialists are retained in the future work.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Somewhat effective

Comments:

The documentary used at the Community Dialogues held in the rural communities did not start with a connection to our audience demographics. The Spanish vignettes worked well for the Community Dialogues held in Spanish. We had a few Hmong residents attend with interpretation provided. They connected to the Hmong garden in Fresno and the experience of the Vietnamese psychiatrist.

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Not effective

Comments:

It was too expensive to print the color graphics.

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

For small rural counties, it is important to create handouts that do not have dark color backgrounds. Even after asking for assistance, we did not have the technical expertise to modify the documents to better serve our needs.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

We only used the Rand surveys in our second and third community dialogues. The surveys were not well received. Illiteracy rate in Merced County is about 25%. Some refused to do it and it took others a long time to complete it. Many complained. Many Spanish speakers refused to complete the demographic form. Some may be undocumented. A few were going to leave until they were encouraged to stay with reassurance that they did not need to complete the sign-in sheet.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

These types of activities are very much needed in rural communities. It is important to tailor the information and handouts to meet the needs of the diverse underserved groups.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#12



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, June 17, 2014 11:36:54 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, June 17, 2014 11:44:00 AM
Time Spent: 00:07:06
IP Address: 69.237.114.238

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Inter-Tribal Council of California, Inc. (ITCC)

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Good

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

A tad more clarity on the use of logos, etc.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Below average

Comments:

Due to political issues that tribes have with each other, we spent much time trying to ameliorate the problems, and were unable to complete the community dialogue and have had to ask for an extension.

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

N/A

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Very supportive

Comments:

Have been vewry user friendly and open to ideas. Thanks

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CaIMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Somewhat supportive

Comments:

Not much communication. Fault is on both our parts.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

More direct outreach from CalMHSA contract specialist, they shouldnt wait until we approach them.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label) Average

Comments: N/A

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label) Average

Comments: Have distributed at community events, no negative feedback.

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

The green is not very palatable, according to consumers and other community members.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

Ok

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

Thanks for the support,

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#13



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 26, 2014 5:33:58 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 26, 2014 5:38:36 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:38
IP Address: 8.12.165.241

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

Mono County Behavioral Health

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Excellent

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

I found it all very well done.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Very successful

Comments:

Judging by how many leaders asked me to come to their organizations to do more training and by the amount of engagement by audience members, I think it all went very well.

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

Stayed throughout the event, took information, asked to talk afterward, asked for more training, helped to schedule more training.

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CalMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

I very much appreciate being able to be involved as a very small (14k people in a large land area) county. Also, that we could (and did) share resources with Inyo county.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Average

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Effective

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

Video was not possible to show in our outlying areas.

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

It worked fine for me.

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

I would like to have some kind of overall outcome of how many people were served and the demographics so I can see how Mono County compares.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

#14



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link (Web Link)

Started: Wednesday, July 02, 2014 7:11:13 PM

Last Modified: Wednesday, July 02, 2014 7:23:08 PM

Time Spent: 00:11:55

IP Address: 204.88.224.38

PAGE 1

Q1: Organization Name

San Luis Obispo County Behavioral Health

Q2: How do you rate the grant application process and training provided at the beginning of the Community Dialogue grant program?

(no label)

Average

Q3: Please provide general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the grant application process and training.

The grant application was straightforward, however it had to be written in a vacuum because the actual documentary, and Spanish materials were not ready.

Q4: How successful were your community dialogue events in educating attendees about the stigma of mental illness?

(no label)

Not successful

Comments:

N/A No Cost Extension (NCE) til December 2014. No Data Yet

Q5: Describe the ways in which attendees of your community dialogue events demonstrated engagement (i.e. stayed throughout event, completed survey, took information from resource area, etc).

N/A No Cost Extension (NCE) til December 2014. No Data Yet

Q6: How do you rate the support you received from Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn (RS&E) during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Average

Q7: How do you rate the support you received from your CalMHSA contract specialist during the duration of the program?

(no label)

Supportive

Comments:

We had to cancel two events die to the delay in Spanish Speaking vignettes and materials. Wes Schweikhard was very supportive in getting us the No Cost extension, however so much County time and effort was put into obtaining the NCE, as well as repairing relationships and trust with community providers who were disheartened by having to completely cancel two of them.

Community Dialogue Post Grant Survey

Q8: Please provide suggestions / improvements related to the support you received during the program.

it would have helped if the product was finished.

Q9: How do you rate the effectiveness of the documentary and video vignettes?

(no label)

Effective

Q10: How do you rate the effectiveness of the printed materials provided (i.e. posters and graphics)?

(no label)

Effective

Q11: Please share any suggestions for improvement related to the support materials described above.

N/A - Will have data at end of NCE

Q12: Considering the importance of program reporting and evaluation to the sustainability of Stigma and Discrimination Reduction efforts, how can we better support you in the future to meet those requirements?

N/A - Will have data at end of NCE

Q13: Please provide any other general feedback or suggestions on how we can improve the program going forward.

N/A - Will have data at end of NCE